

January 2, 1990

INTRODUCED BY: Bruce Laing

PROPOSED NO. 90-80

MOTION NO. 7794

1  
2 A MOTION to reconsider the county council's vote of  
3 December 18, 1989 on Substitute Ordinance 9277 not  
4 concurring with the recommendation of the zoning and  
5 subdivision examiner to deny the application for  
6 reclassification from SR, SR-P, SR (Potential ML),  
7 SR (Potential RM900), and ML to B-C petitioned by  
8 WALTER E. GRIFFIN a/k/a COVINGTON WEST, Building and  
9 Land Development Division, File No. 213-87-R.

10 WHEREAS, the county council voted to adopt Substitute  
11 Ordinance 9277, Proposed Ordinance No. 89-752 entitled An  
12 Ordinance not concurring with the recommendation of the zoning and  
13 subdivision examiner and reclassifying certain property upon the  
14 application of WALTER E. GRIFFIN, a/k/a COVINGTON WEST (File

15 No. 213-87-R) dated December 14, 1989, on December 18, 1989 and

16 WHEREAS, pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24.210, this matter was not  
17 set on land use appeals because no party of record had appealed  
18 the zoning and subdivision examiner's recommendation to deny the  
19 application for reclassification from SR, SR-P, SR (Potential ML),  
20 SR (Potential RM 900), and ML to B-C petitioned by Walter E.  
21 Griffin a/k/a/ Covington West, Building and Land Development  
22 Division File No. 213-87-R and

23 WHEREAS, it was not made clear to the county council on  
24 December 18, 1989 that Substitute Ordinance 9277 reversed the  
25 recommendation of the zoning and subdivision examiner to deny the  
26 request for reclassification petitioned by Walter E. Griffin a/k/a  
27 Covington West, and

28 WHEREAS, according to K.C.C. 20.24.210 proposed ordinance  
29 No. 89-752 concurring with the recommendation of the zoning and  
30 subdivision examiner to deny the application for reclassification  
31 was placed on the County Council's agenda for December 18, 1989,  
32 and

33 WHEREAS, none of the parties of record had notice that a  
substitute ordinance reversing the recommendation of the zoning  
and subdivision examiner would be introduced, voted on, and

1 WHEREAS, the council chair did not, therefore, sign  
 2 Substitute Ordinance 9277 in order that the county council could  
 3 decide to review and reconsider its vote of December 18, 1989 at  
 4 the next regularly scheduled council meeting;

5 NOW, THEREFORE, IT BE MOVED by the Council of King County:

6 A. The vote of December 18, 1989 on Substitute  
 7 Ordinance 9277, an ordinance not concurring with the  
 8 recommendation of the zoning and subdivision examiner and  
 9 reclassifying certain property upon the application of Walter E.  
 10 Griffin, a/k/a Covington West, will be reconsidered by the county  
 11 council.

12 B. Proposed Ordinance No. 89-752, concurring with the  
 13 recommendation of the zoning and subdivision examiner's report and  
 14 recommendation to deny the application will be considered by the  
 15 Council for reclassification on land use appeals on the next  
 16 available hearing date after January 8, 1990.

17 C. The zoning and subdivision examiner is hereby directed to  
 18 immediately notify all parties of record of the council council's  
 19 intention to consider Proposed Ordinance 89-752 and to notify all  
 20 parties of the scheduled date.

21 PASSED this 2nd day of January, 1990.

22 KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
 23 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

24 Lois North  
 25 Chair

26 ATTEST:

27 J. Crawford II  
 28 Clerk of the Council

29 9001-005.KCC  
 30  
 31  
 32  
 33